



Mar Vista Community Council



AGENDA Packet

Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors

<http://www.marvista.org/minutes-and-agendas.php>

Tuesday, October 9th, 2018, at 7:00pm

Mar Vista Recreation Center Auditorium
11430 Woodbine Street, Mar Vista, CA 90066

(Agenda item 9) Reading and Approval of Minutes - Reading and approval of the minutes from the August 31, 2018 special meeting and the September 11, 2018 regular meeting of the Board of Directors.



Mar Vista Community Council



AGENDA & MINUTES

Special Meeting of the Board of Directors

<http://www.marvista.org/minutes-and-agendas.php>

Friday, August 31st, 2018, at 7:00pm

Coffee Connection, Station Room
3838 S. Centinela Blvd., Mar Vista, CA 90066

1. Call to order

Order called at 7:06 pm

2. Roll Call – Call of the roll and certification of a quorum

Quorum met during roll call with {Paola Cervantes, Robin Doyno, Aaron Elster, Elliot Hanna, Mary Hruska, Rob Kadota, Michelle Krupkin, Damien Newton, Sara Roos, Holly Tilson} ten present; Nanxi Liu entered at 7:08pm and Ken Alpern at 7:16pm; Klos absent.

3. Community Memorial Observations

Rick Selan was recognized by Kadota, Roos and Tilson. A retired math teacher of many long years at Mark Twain, he was very politically active advocating for gifted students and especially keenly for special education students. Upon his retirement he tutored and advocated on behalf of many young Mar Vista stakeholders, and attended countless MVCC EACC meetings. He was very dedicated, very intense and an icon around town. He will be missed by many; his was a special soul.

Ernesto Campana – A neighbor of Zone 5 and Paola Cervantes. He was a "sweetheart, with a big laugh", wonderfully sharing his home with four generations – mother, wife, kids and grandkids.

4. Announcements

Krupkin (/Zone 5): Canela Restaurant is closing today, marking the thirteenth business closure since inception of the Venice Boulevard reconfiguration.

Kadota (/Bike MV): absent for Market drop off, assistance secured from Cervantes. BikeMV created a calendar of events around cycling and outreach opportunities for September, including MV events and beyond (Elenda popup bike lane/street closure event in CC, cicLAvia downtown – Hollywood Bowl). Met with Culver City WalkNRollers. Cycling education may turn into a public safety fair at MVES – starting at school with identified participating parents, hope is to vary location and grow the event frequency and size. Funding may be requested for this fair and for reflective vests.

Roos (/Secretary/Fall Festival): website expanding to include community issues of interest so Board members' notifications should be forwarded. Janet Morgan is volunteering to coordinate calendaring. Julie Miller is advertising, arranging booths.

Tilson (/Treasurer): Reflective vests would be a good idea, but funding requests should be considered comprehensively. "First come-first served" may not be the best funding strategy.

5. Public Comment for Items NOT on This Agenda

Newton (/PLUM): PLUM focusing on Senior Center proposed for Venice Boulevard.

Krupkin – Notes the mockup presented recently for MV monument sign not that which was presented by Deputy Nguyen previously.

Alpern: inquiry about the pending 300 lawn signs, clarification that these are on order and being designed.

6. Ex-Parte Communications and Conflicts-of-Interest - Each board member shall declare any ex-parte communications or conflicts-of-interest pertaining to items on or related to this agenda.

Alpern – Questions about scooters, Planning; asphalt repairs.		Cervantes – none	Doyno - none	Elster - none
Hanna – short chat with CD11 about the Bridge Housing letter.			Hruska -none	Kadota - none
Klos – absent	Krupkin – discussion of sidewalk vending program with Tilson and of unfinished motions with other board members.		Liu – meeting with Bonin about permanent supportive housing.	
Newton – Has not personally covered the Sidewalk Vending Motion although StreetsblogLA has. Will interview Safeguard organization about safe parking in early October.		Roos – none	Tilson – discussion of sidewalk vending program, and all three motions, with Krupkin. No financial conflict represented in any discussions.	

7. Adoption of the Agenda

Adopted without objection (8:12pm)

8. Reading and Approval of Minutes – Reading and approval of the minutes from the August 14, 2018 meeting of the Board of Directors.

Motion (Kadota/Hruska) to **dispense with reading of Minutes and approve as-is PASSED 10/0/2** (Hanna, Cervantes).

9. Special Orders – None

10. Unfinished Business and General Orders

10.1. Discussion of the City of Los Angeles’ sidewalk vending program – Discussion and possible action regarding the restoration of the “opt-out” clause in the City of Los Angeles’ sidewalk vending program.

WHEREAS, the City Council, on April 18, 2018, adopted an Ordinance creating a Sidewalk Street Vending Program and,

WHEREAS, in the final draft of said ordinance, the “opt out” provision was removed, at the last minute, prior to approval, and

WHEREAS, local brick and mortar enterprises along Venice Blvd in Mar Vista, have spent years establishing their businesses based on a set of assumptions and rules, such as where they can be located, the taxes they are required to pay, and the best ways to attract customers, and

WHEREAS, sidewalk vendors in the proposed ordinance will probably sell similar types of goods and services as nearby brick and mortar businesses, but not be required to adhere to the same elaborate state and local permitting and land-use regulations, and

WHEREAS, the local brick and mortar businesses on Venice Blvd in Mar Vista, have contributed to the “main street” atmosphere that local residents value, and

WHEREAS, the local brick and mortar businesses along Venice Blvd in Mar Vista are mostly small ones, with small profit margins, and

WHEREAS the presence of sidewalk vendors along Venice Blvd in Mar Vista, for the reasons specified above, would constitute unfair competition for the local brick and mortar businesses on the same stretch.

THEREFORE, the MVCC urges restoration of the “opt-out” clause of the Los Angeles Sidewalk Vending Ordinance.

T/I motion presented by Hruska. City Council (CoLA) approved this motion already. Originally an “opt out” clause included facility to petition out of the regulatory area; this clause was removed at the last minute. However a way to opt out remains – it is just more difficult.

Newton: What would happen if MV opted out? Hruska: The petition wouldn’t apply to the whole of MV; if someone’s vending in the area, can someone be arrested in that area? Hruska: No, non-compliance is no longer criminalized.

Roos: Are we eliding with anyone? Hruska: 23 CIS were filed [correction: filings were prior to 2015, for a different supplement to the CoLA motion under recent consideration, now passed].

PUBLIC DISCUSSION – none.

Motion (Alpern/Krupkin) to approve ~

BOARD DISCUSSION –

Hruska: MVCC filed a CIS in 2014 expressing concerns with Chief Analyst Report. We should now record objections to the passage.

Roos: (i) Opting out remains a viable possibility for individual vendors via petition; the opt out clause always only ever was to apply to special commercial districts, of which MV is not one. (ii) There are no->few public sidewalks in MV capable of supporting sidewalk vending per this regulation (they're all too constricted). (iii) this is a supplement to that earlier ordinance and relates to matters of criminality and vending, not commercial restraint of trade, (iv) we do not know the tenor of MV stakeholders and have not the facility to survey it; this being a matter of political polarization and new vs old urbanism our asserting a stance risks alienating a specific demographic, selectively and gratuitously: since the matter is moot in every conceivable way, why generate strident partisan controversy when none was even present before?

Doyno: What are Bonin's objections? Hruska: Don't know.

Hruska: Concur it is unwise to weigh in on complicated matters about which we are not clear

Cervantes: What is the opt out process? Hruska – A protracted, onerous appeals process is now required for individuals in contrast with the specific clause which would have provided for a petition that enabled council district advocacy for the group, rather than by individual vendors. Harder the present way. Centinela does not qualify (confirmed by Newton). Details are "Still being worked out".

Doyno: Moves to table indefinitely. Kadota requests more discussion.

Hruska: This is voted on, it's a "done deal" and purpose is to show support for local businesses. The matter is moot legislatively, no one is advocating for this modification.

Kadota: Has there been consultation with Bonin or CD11 staff regarding the motion and where it stands?

Hruska: No. Kadota: Pending this it's worth tabling; it's been noted there does exist provision for opting out – staff could help us to focus on the matter.

Krupkin: Great Streets Cmte has heard complaints about the street vendor located on the SW corner of Centinela/VB regarding public health and safety issues. Kadota: Counters with anecdote of locals calling for street food.

Alpern: The conversation is not being heard the right way: no suggestion that street vendors are a "bunch of felons" but rather are enjoying unfair advantage. No infrastructure costs, personal savings at the expense of the Commons. But some vendors can augment the atmosphere, and are beneficial to the community.

Hanna: Clarifies 'table' sets motion aside during this meeting; 'postpone indefinitely' sets motion aside until unspecified future date; 'postpone with set date' assures future agendaing for specified date.

Cervantes: postpone until we have more details.

Motion (Kadota/Liu) to postpone until no later than January, 2019 PASSED 11/0/1 (Hanna).

10.2. Discussion of beach curfews – Discussion and possible action regarding opposition to the lifting of beach curfews currently in force.

The Mar Vista Community Council opposes the lifting of the beach curfew currently in place, restricting beach access from midnight to 5am, daily. This is in consideration of public health and safety concerns and in keeping with long standing practice, supported by LAPD and LA County Beaches and Harbors, the agencies tasked with maintaining the safety and integrity of the beach and the shoreline, respectively."

Presented by Newton as forwarded via WRAC. Two thirds of WRAC's Westside NCs have voted already to formally oppose lifting the beach curfew. The matter is as much about campfire parties as about CoLA not having resources to police homelessness; to reduce liability CoLA wants to retain the curfew hours. Venice NC has opined policing resources should be strengthened rather than retreating. Limiting beach access is a concerning precedent. POSEy Subcmte voted to not pass the motion. However because it is controversial and the demographics of POSEy (younger) are distinct from PLUM's (older), the motion was considered at PLUM out of fairness. PLUM Cmte voted to oppose lifting the beach curfew (pass the motion).

Roos: This is a historical turf war between the CA Coastal Commission and CoLA. It is in active, current political play involving parameters of types and numbers of beach access, and where.

Kadota: Is the boardwalk considered "City" or "beach"? Ans: Don't know. VNC is opposed to lifting the curfew.

Motion (Kadota/Hruska) to **approve motion** already on the table

BOARD DISCUSSION –

Newton: Coming from WRAC it's relevant to wonder whether this is an issue that affects our stakeholders. We have community members that use the beach, and this claim is more important than simply being nice to our neighbors, VNC. Still, reversing their opinion is expressly not friendly. A thorough process is needed: either vote to support, or vote to send it back to Committee, not to reverse it.

Kadota: this is an issue of police priority and resources. Venice beach is not distant; we share a border and the police department. Our priorities are relevant.

Krupkin: LAC lifeguards are additional enforcement agency, with thin resources. Safety is of concern.

Kadota: Curfew allows the police to maintain the capacity to take action and also sustain a level of safety. If the beach were open 24/7 that would drain resources; the brief closure enables the resource to be sustained.

Alpern: Precedent of clearance and "reset" is useful; it gives legitimacy to taking action. The public health hazard must not be overlooked and while curfews are repugnant, the first priority must be safety.

Hruska: Curfew exerts some deterrence of criminal activity, which helps with tourism as well as community integrity.

Newton: Unenforced curfew could encourage more brazen criminality.

Cervantes: Regardless of curfew, law enforcement is required.

Roos: Therefore it's not a question of safety but of resources to maintain it.

Motion (Kadota/Alpern) to **call the question passes** by 2/3 vote,

Motion to approve passes 7/4 (Newton, Roos, Cervantes, Doyno)/1 (Hanna)

10.3. Discussion of potential locations for permanent supportive housing – Discussion and possible action regarding a letter received from Councilman Bonin requesting MVCC's assistance in identifying locations within Mar Vista for permanent supportive housing.

Hanna: introduces attached letter (below) and proposes to task the Homeless Issues Committee (HIC) with identifying locations.

Hruska: ¿Is the permanent housing location search for parcels or empty buildings?

Kadota: These are the questions we should address as a Neighborhood Council (NC): ¿What can we bring to the table to identify this housing? Consider safe parking, perhaps as temporary-transitional, or permanent. Zone Directors (ZD) should have hard discussions with Neighborhood Associations (NAs), looking at geography and maps to see what if anything can be possible. HIC has been looking, but doesn't identify many opportunities; we need a public process.

Hruska: So land is a reasonable suggestion. Hanna: Anything that enables the City to get folks off the street. Hruska: WLA Courthouse? Kadota: not "ours".

Liu: Excited by the prospect of an impactful, group activity utilizing a database of available properties. ¿Budget? Timeline?

Newton: Approves of HIC involvement and ZD involvement. HIC could guide ZDs search – e.g., safe park (LAUSD parking lots not eligible because they are open); report to BoD with ZD guidelines by October BoD meeting.

Alpern: Important not to blend distinct populations: working poor living in their vehicle vs. those with chronic issues. There are resources for those who will work with LAPD, City services; those who won't play by the rules should not be catered to.

Cervantes: ¿How does bridge housing relate to "safe park"? Distinction is self-contained housing vs. temporary vehicle-based housing.

Kadota: HIC Co-chairs have been active but the public must contribute creativity and process to due diligence in pursuing the requested space-identifications.

Cervantes: the old firehouse (FS62) could incorporate Bridge Housing incentivizing City support for its funding. Kadota: From the FS62 board perspective myriad reasons would preclude the suggestion but it should be considered all the same.

Hruska: empty land is virtually impossible to obtain on the westside; empty buildings, safe park locations are key per Klos.

Tilson: concerned with logistics, contracts, liability of Safepark option. MVCC would trade away its reputation in the absence of such details – CD11 must advise both MVCC and HIC.

Newton: A NFP (Not-for-Profit) organization manages Safepark contracts with organization (e.g., NA, group, congregation), permits through local police, rules concerning lot size, space availability, 24/7 security, bathrooms, site access, meals-coordination.

Doyno: logistics vary between sites so a single answer cannot cover all logistics; costs average \$100K/lot.

Hanna: Community cooperation is important, part of an expectation for reciprocal CD11 attention to the 405 encampment. Hruska: Strategically this attention is key to retaining community cooperation [positive feedback loop]. Alpern: Credibility is contingent on enforcing rules for law-breakers; important piece to engaging community cooperation too.

Liu: displacing the homeless simply shifts problems from one neighborhood to another; linking community cooperation to encampment removal is uncooperative. Roos: coordination with CD11 aids cooperativity rather than quid-pro-quo or favoritism. Newton: CD11 contact is needed.

Kadota: Klos-Sabshin-Levien work closely. This is a long-term problem. Hanna/Roos: Agreed, years-old. Krupkin: CD and CoLA have resources for discovery; NC and community have fewer. Alpern: Still the community should participate and partner in the conversation. Cervantes: solutions come from building relationships, coaxing use of services specific to individual's challenges.

Cervantes: Reviewing possibilities is the least we can do. Hanna: Expectations are different from quid-pro-quo; supports cooperation but wants reciprocity.

Elster: latest research from HUD (Federal) shows building free housing is the cheapest, most viable path to a solution.

Hruska: concerned the situation is complicated by multiple needs of target population; as a Federal problem should the problem qualify for FEMA support? Cervantes: invoke multiple services. Krupkin: Efficient spending would focus on the issue directly rather than bureaucratic training. Roos: the complexity is compounded by solutions being an unfunded mandate.

Tilson: Money for free housing is not infinite; must be spent efficiently. Reopening state hospitals for chronic issues would be efficient, multi-leveled solution, that would free up subsidized housing for those with short-term housing needs. Agrees HIC + ZDs is proper group to task with identifying space.

Kadota: Issues and dynamics are vast and deep – public education and presentations are necessary. MVCC should support a Speaker series, ask serious questions, discover hidden knowledge base or resources.

Newton: just identifying space is a large task; safe park is a separate, large issue. HHH provides a means to spend and share housing for the needy. Hospitals serve a different function.

Alpern: closing federally-supported facilities dates to the Reagan administration – payment responsibility was at issue. Now three subpopulations need different treatment – down-on-luck and chronic-issues population need some monitoring in housing; the third population needs law enforcement and removal. LAC and CoLA may be unreliable for enforcement but could adopt effective affordable housing policy if empowering foundations is not the goal.

Elster: Identifying units to give away would help first two categories; building may be cheaper in the County where there is no shortage of land.

Liu: Confirms research, characterization of homeless subpopulations.

Doyno: Problems are varied; a single solution is not possible; the Lanterman Act is an issue inhibiting reopening of facilities. MVCC should support CoLA without and strings attached; we can afford to address the problems of homelessness.

Motion (Newton/Doyno) **passed** 11/0/1 (Hanna) as amended to provide a path forward – not solutions but a strategy: "The MVCC BoD instructs the Homeless Issues Committee to:

(1) Report back to the Board, not later than its regular October 9, 2018 meeting, with guidelines for what Zone Directors should be seeking in partnering with CD11 to assist with the task articulated in Councilmember Bonin's letter of August 3, 2018: solving homelessness in our neighborhoods, and identifying potential locations in Mar Vista for supportive housing, bridge housing or safe parking.

(2) In cooperation with the six Zone Directors, work to identify possible locations for permanent supportive housing as requested in the letter. These joint-findings, plans, and progress shall be reported to the board at its November 13, 2018 meeting - and monthly thereafter, as necessary. "

11. New Business – None

12. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn (Alpern/Liu) **passed** at 9:16pm

Attachment (following page) ~ Letter discussed in agenda item 10.3:

DRAFT



MIKE BONIN
City of Los Angeles
Councilmember, Eleventh District

August 3, 2018

Elliot Hanna
Chair, Mar Vista Community Council
P.O. Box 66871
Mar Vista, CA 90066
elliot.hanna@marvista.org

Dear Mr. Hanna:

Elliot

At the end of March, I wrote to the Mar Vista Community Council, asking for the council's partnership in solving homelessness in our neighborhoods, and asking for suggestions on potential locations in Mar Vista for supportive housing, bridge housing, or safe parking. As this crisis in our neighborhoods continues, I am writing to renew that request.

As a director of the MVCC and now as chair of the organization, you have been vocal in drawing attention to the problem of homelessness in Mar Vista. I invite your vocal engagement in identifying constructive solutions, and in joining other 11th District neighborhoods in helping to solve this region-wide crisis. My staff and I are eager to partner with you and the MVCC on those efforts.

I have asked every neighborhood and neighborhood council to become actively engaged in creating solutions, and the response from neighborhoods around our council district has been encouraging and inspiring:

- In the past few years, *Del Rey*, which shares a zip code with Mar Vista, has earned a reputation as one of the most active and solution-oriented neighborhoods in the City. With the support of the Del Rey Neighborhood Council, supportive housing has opened on both Courtleigh Avenue and on Beach Avenue. Last year, a home for homeless youth opened in Del Rey. The Del Rey Square Apartments, a building providing low income housing for seniors, includes a significant number of formerly homeless people. In the past few weeks, the Del Rey Neighborhood Council has begun an aggressive and focused effort to find potential locations for safe parking or bridge housing.
- In *Venice*, with the support of most residents, the City is proposing bridge housing on a former bus lot, while several proposals for supportive housing are in the pipeline, on both city-owned property and on private property. The Homelessness Committee of the Venice Neighborhood Council has unanimously endorsed bridge housing, and has spearheaded a program to reunify homeless people with their families. Venice is also home to St. Joseph Center and Safe Place for Youth, which provide homeless services and help find jobs and or housing for people living on the street.

Westchester Office
7166 W. Manchester Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90045
(310) 568-8772
(310) 410-3946 Fax

City Hall
200 N. Spring Street, Room 475
Los Angeles, CA 90012
(213) 473-7011
(213) 473-6926 Fax

West Los Angeles Office
1645 Corinth Avenue, Room 201
Los Angeles, CA 90025
(310) 575-8461
(310) 575-8305 Fax



- In *Brentwood*, the campus of the Department of Veterans Affairs is moving forward with plans to build more than 1000 units of supportive housing for homeless veterans. The VA campus is home to the first Safe Parking program on the Westside, and in partnership with the City, bridge housing is in the works there as well.
- In *West LA*, the City and County operate the Emergency Winter Shelter program. The Neighborhood Council of West LA/Sawtelle, by an 11-1 vote, approved supportive housing for the homeless at the former WLA Animal Shelter. The neighborhood council is now considering potential Safe Parking locations. Additionally, with community support, a recently approved project at Olympic and Bundy will include homeless housing.
- In *Pacific Palisades*, the Pacific Palisades Community Council helped form the Pacific Palisades Task Force on Homelessness, which has raised money, hired its own social service agency, and successfully housed dozens of people. The PPCC recently formed a new task force to identify potential locations for bridge or crisis housing for homeless women in the community.

This response from neighborhoods has been exciting, and is showing Los Angeles that residents of the Westside are eager to lead and be part of the solution. I hope that the Mar Vista Community Council will show similar leadership. I know that the Homeless Issues Committee has been engaged in this issue for some time, and I have been grateful to work with Susan Klos, Robin Doyno and members of that committee, and understand you have named new members as well. I am eager for suggested solutions from the Mar Vista Community Council and believe that with your support, the MVCC can join and complement these other 11th District efforts, actively participating in the solution. As you have noted, the situation is dire, and demands urgent action.

I have attached a copy of my previous correspondence to MVCC, as well as a list of types of programs and projects the City and County are investing in.

Regards,



MIKE BONIN
Councilmember, 11th District

Cc: MVCC Board of Directors

- * **PUBLIC INPUT AT NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL MEETINGS** – The public is requested to fill out a "Speaker Card" to address the Board on any agenda item before the Board takes an action on an item. Comments from the public on agenda items will be heard only when the respective item is being considered. Comments from the public on other matters not appearing on the agenda that are within the Board's jurisdiction will be heard during the General Public Comment period. Please note that under the Brown Act, the Board is prevented from acting on a matter that you bring to its attention during the General Public Comment period; however, the issue raised by a member of the public may become the subject of a future Board meeting. Public comment is limited to 3 minutes per speaker, unless adjusted by the presiding officer of the Board.
- * **PUBLIC POSTING OF AGENDAS** - MVCC agendas are posted for public review at Mar Vista Recreation Center, 11430 Woodbine Street, Mar Vista, CA 90066
Subscribe to our agendas via email through L.A. City's Early Notification System at <http://www.lacity.org/subscriptions>
- * **THE AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT** - As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities, including sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices and other auxiliary aids and/or services. To ensure availability of services, please make your request at least 3 business days (72 hours) prior to the meeting you wish to attend by contacting chair@marvista.org.
- * **PUBLIC ACCESS OF RECORDS** – In compliance with Government Code section 54957.5, non-exempt writings that are distributed to a majority or all of the board in advance of a meeting may be viewed at our website, <http://www.marvista.org>, or at the scheduled meeting. In addition, if you would like a copy of any record related to an item on the agenda, please contact secretary@marvista.org.
- * **RECONSIDERATION AND GRIEVANCE PROCESS** - For information on MVCC's process for board action reconsideration, stakeholder grievance policy, or any other procedural matters related to this Council, please consult the MVCC Bylaws. The Bylaws are available at our Board meetings and our website, <http://www.marvista.org>.
- * **SERVICIOS DE TRADUCCION** - Si requiere servicios de traducción, favor de avisar al Concejo Vecinal 3 días de trabajo (72 horas) antes del evento. Por favor contacte a chair@marvista.org para avisar al Concejo Vecinal.



Mar Vista Community Council



AGENDA & MINUTES

Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors

<http://www.marvista.org/minutes-and-agendas.php>

Tuesday, September 11th, 2018, at 7:00pm

Mar Vista Recreation Center Auditorium
11430 Woodbine Street, Mar Vista, CA 90066

1. Call to order

Order called at 7:03pm

2. Presentation of Flag and Pledge of Allegiance

Approximately 20 in the audience for the presentation.

3. Roll Call – Call of the roll and certification of a quorum

Quorum met during roll call with {Robin Doyno, Elliot Hanna, Mary Hruska, Rob Kadota, Susan Klos, Nanxi Liu, Damien Newton, Sara Roos, Holly Tilson} nine present; Aaron Elster entered at 7:09pm and Michelle Krupkin at 7:10pm;. Ken Alpern, Paola Cervantes absent

4. Community Memorial Observations

Hruska: 9/11/2000 remembered with a moment of silence for all who perished on Sept 11, and the families still reeling from the impact.

5. Announcements

Damien Newton: Angel City Chorus, which practices at St. Andrews with many Mar Vistans among its choir, competed on America’s Got Talent two hours ago and they need our vote (online or via app)! It’s cool to see some from our community get this recognition.

6. Public Comment for Items NOT on This Agenda

Selena Inouye: (1) While WLA network announced its filing of a lawsuit against the City, this is not a pass for MVCC – the Venice road diet is not over yet and the community is asking for a Town Hall on the subject in December. There will be more motions for MVCC to consider on the subject. (2) "Action Network" letters is advocacy, not harassment. (3) Inappropriate Board behavior including side conversations, rolling eyes, dirty looks, should be addressed by the Chair.

Sheri Odere: received an email reply about how her email is harassing, but she doesn’t understand why and does not believe she should be accused of this.

Robin Doyno: LAUSD has behaved rudely by failing to update the community on construction changes?

7. Ex-Parte Communications and Conflicts-of-Interest - Each board member shall declare any ex-parte communications or conflicts-of-interest pertaining to items on or related to this agenda.

<i>Alpern –absent</i>	<i>Cervantes – absent</i>	<i>Doyno - none</i>	<i>Elster - none</i>
<i>Hanna – discussions of 11.1, 11.6, 12.5 with one or two other board members .</i>			<i>Hruska -none</i>
<i>Kadota - none</i>	<i>Klos – none</i>	<i>Krupkin – Discussion of many items on the agenda, but none of which involve personal financial benefit.</i>	
<i>Liu – none</i>	<i>Newton – ordinary PLUM conversations.</i>	<i>Roos – none</i>	<i>Tilson – many conversations, none involving conflicts of interest</i>

8. Adoption of the Agenda

Adopted without objection at 7:13pm.

9. **Reading and Approval of Minutes** – Reading and approval of the minutes from the July 10, 2018 meeting of the Board of Directors.

Incorrect Minutes referenced requires approval of August 31, 2018 Minutes to be postponed to October 9, 2018 meeting.

10. Reports

10.1. Elected Official and City Department Reports

Vanessa Serrano (DONE): PowerLA podcast available through DONE website – interviews with local individuals of interest, politicians, commissioners, staff, NC board members, etc.

Annual Congress Of Neighborhoods, September 22, 2018, 8am-4pm – myriad sessions from Planning to NC-related issues. Includes parking, breakfast, lunch.

Board Member David Ryu's CF 18-0467 has extended the public comment period.

Hannah Levien (CD11): Thanks to MVCC BoD for the \$10,685 via council motion for traffic improvements along McLaughlin; special thanks to Holly Tilson for treasury logistics. Arrival boards are confirmed for Centinela/Venice (per Krupkin).

10.2. Officer Reports

10.2.1. Chair – Elliot Hanna

Cmte/subcmte system is new, Mission Statements and designation of presiding or rotating Co-chairs need filing with Secretary. Minutes should be posted within ten days of meeting. Help is available – please ask!

10.2.2. 1st Vice-Chair – Rob Kadota

Rosenberg's Rules are copied, available for new Chairs – please familiarize yourself with the system and ask for help if needed.

10.2.3. 2nd Vice-Chair – Paola Cervantes

10.2.4. Secretary – Sara Roos

Filed letters and their recipients, responses, CIS available from the website. Newsletter is in process.

10.2.5. Treasurer – Holly Tilson

MER is in the packet. NCs received \$42K this year. The budget we set and approve governs spending; funding motions come via cmte, and are subsequently agendized through Exfin or sometimes straight to the BoD as funding motions. This month we spent \$790 on routine expenses, meeting room, etc mifi, mailchimp, The Web Corner; \$39,400K remains.

10.3. Zone Director Reports

MOTION(Kadota/Hruska) to suspend rules and move forward the Zone Director and Committee Reports (agenda items 10.3 & 10.4) **PASSED** 10/0/1 (Hanna); [Alpern, Cervantes absent] at 7:25pm

11. Special Orders –

11.1. **Presentation of Certificate of Appreciation** – Presentation from Hannah Levien of CD11 of a certificate of appreciation to Linda Guagliano for her years of service as chairperson of the MVCC/CD11/LADAOT liaison committee

Hannah Levien: Linda Guagliano has worked tirelessly with the CD11/LADOT Traffic Committee established by Bill Rosendahl. She is a great diplomat, takes reports and works carefully, holding everyone accountable; she will be hard to replace. She is recognized by the City and Council member Bonin for her decade of service.

Linda Guagliano: Expresses thanks for the beautiful award. The bimonthly committee she took over when its Chair left in 2008 is neither MVCC Committee nor Subcommittee nor liaison Committee either; it is a distinct entity. Closed to the public several years ago, the LADOT limits public input because they are unprepared to address general questions there.

11.2. Presentation from LADWP (15 minutes) – Presentation from Annette Flores, Louis Rubacalva, and Deborah Hong of LADWP regarding the Venice-Sepulveda Water Pipeline Project.

<http://www.ladwp.com/SepulvedaVenice>

On-site Construction Manager: Carlos Perez | 213-798-5715 | carlos.perez@ladwp.com

Project Manager: Annette Flores | 213-367-0827 | annette.flores@ladwp.com

Associate Project Manager: Mary Olmos | 213-367-4475 | mary.olmos@ladwp.com

Community Affairs: Deborah Hong | 213-367-5204 | deborah.hong@ladwp.com

Construction will start soon on the Sepulveda-Venice water pipeline increasing reliability of LADWP's water distribution system to the West Los Angeles area. The project will provide an emergency connection to the [Metropolitan Water District of Southern California \(MWD\)](#) water supply by constructing a new trunk line that will be connected to an existing pipeline. The new steel trunk line will be between 20-inches and 54-inches in diameter and extend approximately 1,700 feet under Sepulveda Boulevard and Venice Boulevard within the City of Los Angeles and Culver City.

The project will be staged in six sections to limit impact to the Community. The first two stages will last 6 weeks each, finished before the Holiday moratorium beginning at Thanksgiving. Travel will be intermittently impacted along Sepulveda Blvd. The entire project is anticipated to be completed by January 2020.

As part of the project, eventually a new regulator station will be constructed on Bentley Avenue south of Venice Boulevard to control and reduce water pressure coming from the MWD system. In addition, a pressure relief station will be installed on Bentley Avenue, north of Venice Boulevard to support the emergency connection. No disruption in water service is anticipated.

11.3. Presentation regarding Mark Twain Tennis Courts Project (15 minutes) – Presentation from Mark Hovatter of the Los Angeles Unified School District regarding the Mark Twain Tennis Courts Project.

Community Relations: Fortunato Tapia, fortunato.tapia@lausd.net

Chief of Facilities, LAUSD: Mark Hovatter, mark.hovatter@lausd.net

Upon the completion of 131 new school construction projects three years past, LAUSD refocused to modernization of older campuses, of which Venice HS (VHS) is one. The project is large, lasting four years, encompassing upgrading infrastructure, new sports facilities, 29 classrooms, \$138m dollars.

To make room for construction and temporary housing of classrooms, VHS' existing 4 tennis courts needed to be removed, without any capacity for replacement prior to the end of construction.

To avoid displacing the HS tennis program, the contractor offered to build new courts at nearby Mark Twain MS at no cost, expanding service to a second campus and avoiding interrupted access for the High Schoolers. It seemed a benefit overall but the two sites were not treated separately, disturbing the immediate community surrounding MTMS. Accordingly LAUSD did not act as good neighbors. LAUSD regrets the disruption and lack of courtesy in explaining what was happening and why.

Community concerns have been addressed directly with stakeholders by modifying the original court design which abutted a fence, and incorporating a setback to baffle the noise along with landscaping.

Dedicated offsite parking should allay concerns about pressures on street parking. Nighttime activity on the courts is extremely unlikely: any surplus funds would likely first go to playing fields but any such addition would trigger a public comment process first.

The VHS project is a source of pride, including a state-of-the-art gym, sports fields open to the public, and sorely needed renovations to student's learning environment. Project staff is available to answer questions (contact above).

Liu: Clarifies that the time frame for courts construction is two months; entire project is 4 years.

Selena Inouye: Feels community and staff input was solicited but not heeded. Hovatter: The community was consulted and involved, but at \$20m over-budget already [due to unanticipated infrastructure needs], cost:benefit design decisions limit options available for the project.

Doyno: Will the pool be modernized? Hovatter: LAUSD owns the land on which the present pool rests and supports replacement discussions with CoLA regarding indoor vs. outdoor pool. Along with the open gym this marks \$32m invested in sports facilities.

Tilson: Thanks for meeting with the community; the pool remains of concern and its renovation ought to utilize LA84 (Olympic) money.

Margaret Hosier: Reiterates appreciation.

Allison Holdorff (LAUSD4): acknowledges LAUSD Facilities and WMVRA involvement.

Roos: References the community petition signed by 700 stakeholders demonstrating the pool's centrality to this community and the imperative for its renovation.

11.4. Presentation from the Vista Area Representative, Los Angeles County Assessor's Office (10 minutes) – Short presentation from Matthew Lyons, the Mar Vista area representative of the Los Angeles County Assessor's Office. Mr. Lyons will discuss the Office of the Assessor's proactive approach to addressing taxpayer issues.

The assessor's office appraises all taxable property, equipment, machinery in the City. Six agencies are involved in taxation, so because of the complex, Jeffrey Prang's office is trying to be more proactive in helping homeowners find savings. Assessor's website portal has information about property, taxes and how to claim exemptions and discover other such savings and tax relief.

Selena Inouye – concerned about the effect of a neighboring residence converted from triplex to duplex. Ans: This could be a recording issue, or a permit office problem for the registrar-recorder; Prang's office can commit to helping identify responsibility.

Tilson: A rumor suggests 40% of housing in LA is owned by one corporation; are tax bills sent in such concentration as to suggest truth to this rumor? Ans: There are corporations with significant amounts of property, but has not heard such a number.

Marty Rubin: Tax assessment will be affected by [proposition 5](#) on the November 2018 ballot that would amend proposition 13, involving the one-time transfer of tax assessment to a newly purchased house anywhere within CA.

Regarding sales tax, its administration has recently been switched from the [State Board of Equalization](#) to the [California Department of Finances and Tax Administration](#), perhaps reflecting changing departmental responsibilities.

11.5. Presentation from Uber/JUMP Bikes (10 minutes) – Short presentation from Andrew Magaña, Senior Operations Manager for Uber/JUMP Bikes in Southern California.

CoLA has permitted a pilot program to evaluate dockless, motorized bicycles, branded a bright orange color and called "JUMP", these are owned by Uber and utilize the same app. They launched 9/17/18 in Santa Monica and soon thereafter in LA.

The green "Hulu" branded bicycles in Santa Monica, Westwood and WeHo are run by the same company ("Breeze") but are "docked", utilizing hubs and a different payment system that will eventually be integrated.

Dockless bikes are being piloted as a more convenient, controllable system; the permitting process in CoLA requires feedback from NCs. Feedback from the community will help prevent bad experiences. The collaboration with Uber is designed to reduce short car trips.

Price is competitive with scooters – tap card can be filled with cash or credit card; equitable access is subsidized.

Newton: How are adjoining municipalities integrated? Ans: out-of-service locations (say, Mar Vista) can't be activated but bicycles can be dropped there for charging-collection.

Kalani Whittington: Safety is a concern; who is liable for an underage child illegally operating a motorized vehicle? Ans: CoLA requires that JUMP carry \$5m insurance, so the City is not in financial risk, but liability is determined by the courts.

Hannah Levien: Policy questions about the Council's pilot and recent mobility legislation may be [directed toward her](#).

11.6. Resignations of board member and committee chairs/co-chairs – Discussion and possible action regarding resignations received, including appointments of replacements.

Paola Cervantes, MVCC's Second Vice-Chair, resigned her At-Large board and Committee positions for personal reasons, effective September 4, 2018. Ms. Cervantes brought decency and civility to the board. She is a wonderful, lovely person and a calming influence; her resignation is accepted with regret. The MVCC board extends best wishes for her continued well-being and happiness.

The board vacancy shall be filled pursuant to MVCC's bylaws. Letters of candidacy should be addressed to the Chair@marvista.org by 9pm on October 6, 2018, 25 days from this announcement. Following candidate's statements and a question-answer period at its regularly monthly board meeting on October 9, 2018, a replacement will be appointed by the Chair subject to board confirmation.

ExFin is filled upon election of a new second Vice-Chair. The BoD Chair will temporarily Co-chair ExFin.

The resignation of Ms. Guagliano is effective August 14, 2018 but she will temporarily Chair the September 19, 2018 meeting. To fill her giant shoes, former MVCC Chair and dedicated pot-hole investigator Albert Olson has distinguished himself as fair-minded and knowledgeable about transportation issues. His appointment effective September 20, 2018 is **CONFIRMED by a vote of 9/0/2** (Doyno, Newton).

Agenda item 10.3 Zone Director Reports

Rules (agenda order) resumed

Agenda item 10.3.1. Zone 1 – Ken Alpern

Agenda item 10.3.2 Zone 2 – Damien Newton

NWNA newsletter is out. NWNA party is coming September 29. Awesome, come hang out. Party there all day, Noon-7. Enjoy the talent show.

Pelton appeal of the project at 11671-11677 National(/Barrington). This is a big mixed use development going in by right, with interesting ingress/egress issues. Its driveway is felt to be on the "wrong" street, but the Developers didn't listen. Adjacent property owners filed an appeal of the LADOT ruling in favor of the contested driveway, which was upheld at the CoLA Transportation Cmte, but subsequently overruled by the City Attorney in a confidential letter. The appeal was deemed impermissible for liability reasons. The original single ingress/egress off Barrington remains. The Developer reached many agreements with CD11, even while unresponsive to the community. Therefore the appeal filed by the Peltons enabled interaction with the recalcitrant Developer so the community owes them a debt of gratitude.

Agenda item 10.3.3. Zone 3 – Mary Hruska

First Community Plan Subcommittee will meet September 25, 2018 at 7:30, in St. Andrews Church, Narbeth Room. All are invited. There will be outreach from DCP specifically on our Community Plan at the Felicia Mahood Center on SM Boulevard from 9-11am.

Westdale HOA block party will be held between 1p – 5p on September 30th, 2018.

Agenda item 10.3.4. Zone 4 – Aaron Elster

The shiny new zebra-crosswalks were installed at Charnock/Mclaughlin & Charnock/Victoria. Congrats to everyone who made this happen.

Agenda item 10.3.5. Zone 5 – Michelle Krupkin

Canela Restaurant closed along the Great Street on August 31, 2018. This brings the count to 14 businesses closed since the inception of the pilot program installed along Venice Boulevard on May 20, 2017.

There was a shooting in the alley between Inglewood and Marcasel. A new hair salon called [The Hive Beauty Collection](#) opened between Inglewood and Marcasel.

Agenda item 10.3.6. Zone 6 – Holly Tilson

The LAUSD presentation constituted much of Zone 6's report. Five houses have been burglarized in the last month by first knocking on the front door to determine occupancy, entering through the back door and exiting the front.

Agenda item 10.4 Committee Reports

Agenda item 10.4.1 Elections and Bylaws

Everyone's invited; Three CoChairs will rotate. Standing Rules and Bylaws are on the website; tent policy has been reviewed already.

Agenda item 10.4.2 Community Outreach

Posting deadline was missed but new one will be posted in next couple days.

Agenda item 10.4.3 Transportation and Infrastructure

T/I is first Wednesdays; Great Streets second Wednesdays. Scooter motion discussed, overview of the Artwalk.

Agenda item 10.4.4 Planning and Land Use Management

PLUM meetings are first-Thursdays. 75 unit project at 4343 Gateway/Barrington is in the offing (with a bookstore). Very large (400+) unit project at Sepulveda/Palms is in the offing with a special meeting to be scheduled dedicated to it; it is the largest project ever built here in MV. Interactions with the developer have been challenging.

Agenda item 10.4.5 Public Health and Safety

SubCommittee reports: Aging In Place is a speaker series not meeting now; October is the next scheduled.

Mobility/Bike MV is working on outreach and education among youth and schools; Back To School is a priority. Piloting a 'Bike Rodeo' that will hopefully spread beyond its first events to many schools in rotation. Encouraging "Ride To School" and "Walk To School" days shifting traffic patterns. Partnering with Culver City "Walk N Rollers", looking for funding that can be matched as is theirs. Weekly bike stand at the Farmer's Market continues and will help prepare bikes for September 30, 2018 CicLAvia: LAPhil 100-year celebration between Disney Hall and the Hollywood Bowl.

Emergency Preparedness: Great shakeout on October 14, 2018. Valley Preparedness fair along with Resilience LA have good ways to prepare your neighborhood, and the block captain program too.

Homeless Issues: has been meeting actively around the situation at Globe/Tuller, investigating solutions.

Agenda item 10.4.6 Education, Arts, and Culture

none

12. Consent Calendar – The Consent Calendar is reserved for items deemed to be routine and non-controversial. Any board member may pull an item or items for further discussion.

NOTE THAT FUNDING ITEMS NEED ROLE CALL SO CONSENT CALENDAR MUST BE CALLED

12.1. Review and approval of Monthly Expense Report – Review and approval of the Monthly Expense Report for August, 2018.

12.2. Appropriation for Venice High School's "Grease Night" (Executive and Finance Committee [funding]) – The Mar Vista Community Council approves an OUTREACH EXPENDITURE, not to exceed \$300, for the purpose of conducting outreach activities at Venice High School's "Grease Night."

12.3. Appropriation for fall newsletter (Director Tilson [funding]) – The Mar Vista Community Council approves the OUTREACH EXPENDITURE of up to \$4,000 for printing costs and distribution for a fall newsletter.

12.4. Appropriation for safe-cycling events (Mobility Subcommittee [funding]) – The Mar Vista Community Council approves the OUTREACH EXPENDITURE of up to \$400 for printing costs and light refreshments for safe-cycling and bike-swap/bike-tune-up events at two local elementary schools.

Pulled by Krupkin

12.5. Subcommittee name change (Mobility Subcommittee [administrative]) – The Mar Vista Community Council renames the “Mobility” Subcommittee to the “Bike Mar Vista” Subcommittee.

Pulled by Roos

12.6. Reimbursement of office expenses (Director Tilson [funding]) – The Mar Vista Community Council approves an \$86.49 OFFICE EXPENDITURE to reimburse Holly Tilson for office supplies used in the treasurer's duties.

12.7. Support for construction of an eldercare facility (Planning and Land Use Management [policy]) – The Mar Vista Community Council supports the construction of an eldercare facility with 67 assisted-care units and 20 memory-care units, with subterranean parking, at 11405 West Venice Blvd.

12.8. Trader Joe’s Conditional Use Permit (Planning and Land Use Management [policy]) – The Mar Vista Community Council supports the conditional-use permit application for Trader Joe’s, located at 3546 South Sepulveda Blvd., to sell bottled alcohol.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON CONSENT CALENDAR, EXCLUDING 12.4 & 12.5 – none.

*Consent calendar is **APPROVED** unanimously: 11/0/0*

13. Excluded Consent Items – Discussion and further action on items excluded from the Consent Calendar.

13.1. (Agenda item 12.4) Appropriation for safe-cycling events (Mobility Subcommittee [funding]) – The Mar Vista Community Council approves the OUTREACH EXPENDITURE of up to \$400 for printing costs and light refreshments for safe-cycling and bike-swap/bike-tune-up events at two local elementary schools.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT: *to include costs up to \$1000 ~ “The Mar Vista Community Council approves the OUTREACH EXPENDITURE of up to \$1000 for printing costs and light refreshments for safe-cycling and bike-swap/bike-tune-up events at two local elementary schools”.*

PUBLIC COMMENT – none on amendment

BOARD COMMENT ON AMENDMENT

Tilson: From where in the budget is this money to come? *Kadota:* Traditionally the end of the years sees a lot of unspent funds, e.g., \$10K plus in 2017-18; expects this will happen again. *Tilson:* So from elections? We haven’t yet discussed Committees’ budget and funding priorities comprehensively as a Board. It would be prudent to discuss our funds in the context of overall re-allocations. *Kadota:* This is a worthwhile investment in and of itself, and the source isn’t part of the motion.

Newton: Has run the program at Richland without funding and is excited about what could be done with a little money. These NC funds would be utilized after school hours.

Kadota: This is an experimental trial program for us in the school where we have the most solid parent engagement and volunteer help. The hope is to expand the program to be annual, rotating through different schools, zone to zone.

Krupkin: Supports the concept, two bike rodeos and LAPD and LAFD involvement; but is skeptical of the funding source. CoLA money may not be as appropriate as LAUSD funding or grant monies from LA County Bike Coalition or Vision Zero. Using City dollars to fund one form of transportation over another may be inappropriate.

Kadota: The rodeos are a new idea, and an opportunity to partner with City and County entities; it’s a worthwhile commitment and investment. The program has \$1500 grant from Walk N Rollers, which has corporate sponsors and is looking to support education.

Krupkin: Could no other sponsors be found; where is the other money sponsoring this event coming from? The possibility of [NACTO](#) money (National Association of City Transportation Officials) is concerning for its association with Vision Zero. As well, extending the request from \$400 to \$1000 is a large change, and without notice. More, if it’s a school event why not reach out to LAUSD?

Doyno: Bicycle safety is essential.

Roos: LAUSD wouldn’t be a source for this small, local event. Individual schools wouldn’t have the prerogative to devote their budget to this sort of outside program.

Tilson: We haven't set priorities at the start of the year, so as motions appear precipitously, already budgeted money must be shifted around, without a guiding vision. When projects don't get completed, money goes unclaimed at the end of the year, but in election years where money is scheduled for year's end, unbudgeted motions will come from those late-scheduled election monies.

Kadota: Sensitive to prioritization and budgeting issues, wants a budget that expresses our priorities.

The goal is for Bike Rodeos to alternate between schools, and develop a system for managing LAUSD hurdles and fees. Future endeavors will be easier..

AMENDMENT to raise appropriation from \$400 to \$1000 **PASSES** 9/0/2 (Hanna, Krupkin) at 8:57pm

PUBLIC COMMENT ON AMENDED MOTION

Selena Inouye: Shares concerns about funding one mobility form at the expense of another. The emphasis of the "Mobility Cmte" should not be preferentially for "Bikes". Will spending \$1,000 on a "bike rodeo" limit the committee's capacity to consider pedestrian issues? Disability issues? Is there a pre-existing relationship with the LAC Bike Coalition that biases the committee's focus? Would such a focus be more appropriately supported by the other entity?

Stacy Shure: Six years ago Clover ES in Zone 1 hosted such a Rodeo, supported by Westside Village HOA. Engaging LAUSD was a challenge but the event was very successful, demonstrating broad community need for it. 220 families were expected; over 400 showed up, many from outside the Zone. General safety issues were addressed, both children and families were reached and it proved a good outreach tool. Neighborhood Associations, even across Zones, are good potential funding and volunteering partners.

BOARD COMMENT ON AMENDED MOTION

Hanna: Pedestrian issues haven't been ignored by the Board; last fiscal year over \$10,000 went toward pedestrian traffic improvements along McLaughlin Boulevard, a significant increase over this proposed \$1,000 appropriation.

Newton: Agrees with the importance of spending more on pedestrian improvements. Here, the focus is on reaching out to Elementary School students so bicycle safety makes a lot of sense. Driver safety at High Schools could work as an alternate age-specific focus.

Krupkin: Isn't "anti-safety" but is concerned instead with the program's funding sources even while acknowledging its popularity and need, and the equity concerns surrounding implementation.

Hanna: Concern with expanding scope of the proposal, which originally included solely: bike swap, safe cycling and tuneup. With subsequent Culver City Walk N Roller interaction, the scope apparently expanded to be more of an emergency prep and safety fair, which brings more people and is more interesting especially to non-kids, but is changed from the original motion passed in the SubCommittee, and which was not heard in Committee. Kadota: The money could be spent just on safe cycling, but this opportunity to expand the scope seems beneficial.

Krupkin: If the event expands and becomes annual, printing and other costs can be planned and coordinated with SubCommittees moving forward, but also with school parent and community groups.

Safety provisions are the proud scope of other MVCC Committees, as for example the recent installation of continental crosswalks at the site of a fatal pedestrian crash. Recent opening celebrations of that initiative should have included contributors to the committee project.

Tilson: What is the process for such a motion? We have available funds, but no budget line-item for this appropriation.

Hanna: The motion could be sent to ExFin for budget adjustment. Tilson: For re-approval of a new budget that includes this line item, with the motion appropriated next meeting? Kadota: Alternatively approve the motion now and rewrite the budget to accommodate the appropriation after. Tilson: and if the budget is not approved, the appropriation cannot be spent?

MOTION CALLED (Elster/Liu) and **APPROVED** by 2/3 vote,

MOTION to approve the Outreach expenditure of \$1,000 **PASSES** 10/0/1 (Hanna) at 9:11pm

13.2. (Agenda item 12.4) Subcommittee name change (Mobility Subcommittee [administrative]) – The Mar Vista Community Council renames the "Mobility" Subcommittee to the "Bike Mar Vista" Subcommittee.

Previously the Committee was named "Bike MV". During July's Committee restructuring the committee was re-designated "Mobility" but also placed under 'Public Health And Safety' rather than 'Transportation and Infrastructure' (T/I) because this better reflected its focus on health and safety. However therefore the name "Bike MV" more accurately captured this committee's goals and aspirations; it's catchier and more reflective of what the CoChairs are trying to do.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Sheri Odere: Concerned that the MVCC Mobility Committee will be simply a bike club, leaving no stakeholder representation for other forms of "mobility" – walking, scooting, driving.

Selena Inouye: At best it would be a bike club; worse would be a lobbying group. If the purpose were to promote businesses, bicycling classes, a bicycle-agenda, that would constitute a conflict of interest and potential financial gain. A mobility committee is fine with a safety focus, but should include all forms of transportation in order to sustain a more balanced view on the issue.

BOARD COMMENT

Hanna: Breaking tradition in speaking first in order to clarify the intention in renaming the committee. It was deliberate, and intended to suggest addressing all forms of transportation. It is important to avoid even an appearance of bias; focusing on bikes alone can give the impression there is a "special interest" to the committee rather than a general one. Therefore he opposes the name change.

Kadota: welcomes T/I taking up the matter of mobility, sidewalks, pedestrian crossings, alternative transportation modalities – these are critical issues, the heart and soul of T/I. However they are beyond a narrow scope of charge, which is the goal. They are open to other issues – e.g., rides sponsored by other folks, such as MVNA.

Hanna: Moving the committee to Public Health and Safety was to emphasize safety concerns, not road trips.

Newton: Apologizes for losing composure at the term "bike lobby" since there exist more insulting conspiracy concerns.

Krupkin: Welcomes a Mobility SubCommittee under T/I, which has addressed the noted issues. Mobility issues are also of concern to the Great Streets SubCommittee, where sidewalk repair concerns pedestrian mobility.

Hruska: Suggests a Mobility SubCommittee under T/I is not incompatible with Bike MV as a SubCommittee under Public Health/Safety; both could be valuable. Great Streets could retain its interest in scooters, for example; issues of disability mobility could be addressed. A bimonthly meeting could be considered if staffing is problematic.

Tilson: moves to create two committees, Mobility under T/I and Bike MV under Public Health/Safety, both Chaired by Kadota.

Newton: Argues the motion is out of order; sustained by the Chair.

Newton: **MOVES** (Newton/Alpern?) to postpone the motion definitely until the October 9, 2018 meeting. This could allow the motion to be considered carefully and withdrawn if desired. Kadota: prefers a vote now.

MOTION to postpone the Committee name change til the October BoD meeting **PASSES** 6 (Newton, Liu, Elster, Doyno, Tilson, Hanna)/5 (Roos, Klos, Kadota, Krupkin, Alpern)/0 at 9:25pm

14. Unfinished Business and General Orders - None

Do trees.

Newton from PLUM.came through subcmte – city is redoing urban forestry plan and at wracpum was discussion that city only needs 3 days notice to removing trees in non-eergency – says 3 days is not enough time under our own bylaws. Doesn't impact emergency situations; this is non-eergency to change 3d to 45d. len was at our meeting and saw no reason to oppose this. Thought was fine.

Hanna reads it.

15. New Business –

15.1. Opposition to dockless scooter permits (Transportation & Infrastructure [policy]) – Discussion and possible action regarding a motion passed by the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee regarding dockless scooters in Mar Vista.

WHEREAS, the Mar Vista Community Council supports dockless scooters as an affordable mode of transportation that integrates well with existing public transit options; and

WHEREAS, MVCC recognizes the primacy of public safety of ALL residents and visitors over other worthy neighborhood goals like improved transportation, accommodating new technology, or equal access to multimodal transportation for low income neighborhoods; and

WHEREAS, the majority of dockless scooter riders in Mar Vista and Venice violate traffic laws such as avoiding sidewalks and Class I bike paths or riding with a valid driver's license, and scooter companies have not taken necessary steps to mitigate illegal behavior; and

WHEREAS, the City Council and LADOT developed a regulatory framework and pilot program for shareable dockless vehicles; and

WHEREAS, the pilot regulations drew from a traffic study limited to one council district and did not include usage patterns or accident rates in areas where dockless scooter usage is highest; and

WHEREAS, the regulations emphasized equity and sidewalk safety of parked vehicles, but ignored safety of vehicles in operation; and

WHEREAS, the regulations do not provide LAPD with specific guidance on enforcement, allocate resources from fees to mitigate the costs of enforcement, or require dockless scooter operators to self-enforce by geofencing prohibited areas;

THEREFORE, MVCC censures the approval of the LADOT regulations and opposes issuing permits to companies to operate scooters at this time. MVCC will support these actions once the city council and LADOT immediately take the following steps to improve the regulations:

- require permitted companies to disable scooter usage or parking via geofencing on all Class I bike paths, city parks (excluding roads and bicycle lanes, and including the Venice Beach Boardwalk), and LAUSD primary and secondary schools; and*
- provide LAPD with adequate resources to deploy two extra officers for fulltime traffic enforcement, to focus on scooter usage in high-impact areas; and*
- develop, in consultation with LAPD, signage informing scooter users of relevant traffic laws, and deploy signage in areas with the highest illegal usage (as determined by LAPD) including on the Braude Bike Path.*

Additionally, MVCC requests that LA City Council and LADOT act during the pilot program to:

- study scooter traffic patterns, law violations, and injury rates in areas of the city with the highest scooter usage, and*
- use the results of that study to determine, with LAPD, the extra long-term resources needed to enforce traffic laws for scooters and cover the cost of these resources with changes to the permitting fee structure, and*
- approve enforcement mechanisms to accept reports of illegal scooter usage and disable accounts of identify users that illegally operate scooters, using ride data provided by the scooter companies.*

Agenda item 15.1 postponed until October 9, 2018 meeting for want of time.

15.2. Support for notification of tree removals (Planning and Land Use Management [policy]) – Discussion and possible action regarding support for a 45-day public-comment period prior to the removal of any city-owned trees.

WHEREAS the City of Los Angeles (CoLA) is developing a new Urban Forestry Management Plan (UFMP) following years of City budget-related cutbacks, and

WHEREAS subsequent class-action litigation settled in 2015 prioritizes and funds reparation of ADA compliant sidewalks, putting pressure on the mature trees comprising our urban canopy since these are more likely to have lifted sidewalks due to the dearth of preventive maintenance, and

WHEREAS the Urban Forestry Division (UFD) has adopted policy to notify EmpowerLA of pending tree removal permits in the City, and

WHEREAS EmpowerLA shall alert the relevant Neighborhood Council (NC) as to tree/s within its boundaries at risk for removal, triggering either a 3-day review period in the case of 1-2 at-risk trees, or a 30-day review period in the case of 3+ at-risk trees,

THEREFORE, let it be understood that while the Mar Vista Community Council (MVCC, a certified NC) appreciates the partnership that would empower cooperation in these important assessments, enabling sustenance of our vital urban canopy, yet it

REQUESTS the timeline for evaluating tree removal permits be extended to accommodate NC citizen advisory capabilities and limitations, as a Brown-Acted Council restricted by monthly committee and board meetings. Three days is insufficient time to manage and publicly evaluate such consequential – and technical – decisions with good faith and accuracy. And legal constraints can make even a 30-day notice period infeasible.

THEREFORE the MVCC requests a timeline that would empower NCs meaningfully, by restructuring UFD's process in conjunction with whatever City Departments, Offices of Councils are necessary, to mandate a 45-day period for public comment on the proposed removal of trees.

Motion presented by Newton: This is about City-owned trees. Developers frequently remove trees in the process of construction and we learn of it after permits are issued or the trees are gone. The Department of Urban Forestry is trying to involve community stakeholders better through NCs and Council District offices. But given Brown Act constraints, NCs cannot cooperate effectively in three days; this proposal could not engage us. This is feedback concerning the proposed process.

Unusual opportunity to be the first of fellow westside NCs to pass a motion; neighboring NCs are excited to follow MVCC's lead on this.

Krupkin: Witnessed the disappearance of a hundred year old historic Canary Palm without notice: supports this attempt to better engage the community in tree removal notification.

*Motion regarding tree removal notification **PASSES** 10/0/1 (Hanna).*

15.3. Discussion of improvements to MVCC website (administrative) – Discussion and possible action regarding improvements to the MVCC website.

Agenda item 15.3 postponed until October 9, 2018 meeting for want of time.

15.4. Discussion of constituting a town hall subcommittee (administrative) – Discussion and possible action regarding constituting a town hall subcommittee to arrange meetings regarding issues of interest in Mar Vista.

Agenda item 15.4 postponed until October 9, 2018 meeting for want of time.

16. Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at 9:38pm

* **PUBLIC POSTING OF AGENDAS** - MVCC agendas are posted for public review online at www.marvista.org/calendar and outside the Mar Vista Recreation Center office at 11430 Woodbine Street, Mar Vista, CA 90066.

Subscribe to our agendas via email through L.A. City's Early Notification System at <http://www.lacity.org/subscriptions> or via at our website, <http://www.marvista.org>

* **THE AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT** - As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities, including sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices and other auxiliary aids and/or services. To ensure availability of services, please make your request at least 3 business days (72 hours) prior to the meeting you wish to attend by contacting chair@marvista.org.

* **SERVICIOS DE TRADUCCION** - Si requiere servicios de traducción, favor de avisar al Concejo Vecinal 3 días de trabajo (72 horas) antes del evento. Por favor contacte a chair@marvista.org para avisar al Concejo Vecinal.

* **PUBLIC ACCESS OF RECORDS** - In compliance with Government Code section 54957.5, non-exempt writings that are distributed to a majority or all of the board in advance of a meeting may be viewed at our website, <http://www.marvista.org>, or at the scheduled meeting. In addition, if you would like a copy of any record related to an item on the agenda, please contact secretary@marvista.org.

* **RECONSIDERATION AND GRIEVANCE PROCESS** - or information on MVCC's process for board action reconsideration, stakeholder grievance policy, or any other procedural matters related to this Council, please consult the MVCC Bylaws. The Bylaws are available at our Board meetings and our website, <http://www.marvista.org>. The MVCC Board of Directors meets regularly on the second Tuesday of each month, Mar Vista Recreation Center, 11430 Woodbine Street, Mar Vista, CA 90066.

(Agenda item 11.2) Appointment of an At-Large Director - Appointment and confirmation of an at-large director to fill the vacancy left by the resignation of Paola Cervantes. In accordance with Article V, Section 6, Subsection C of the Bylaws of the Mar Vista Community Council -

mvcc.director.hanna@gmail.com

From: Armond Seretti <armondserettimvcc@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 14, 2018 1:02 PM
To: chair@marvista.org; board@marvista.org
Subject: At-Large Director Candidacy

An open letter to the Chair and to the Board:

My name is Armond Seretti and I am submitting my name for consideration of the vacant At-Large Director position within the Mar Vista Community Council. While I am a new face in the crowd at board meetings as a 4th generation Mar Vistan I am certainly not new to Mar Vista. My family has been on Grandview Hill since the late 40's and I, not falling far from the proverbial apple tree, now live on Charnock in Zone 4. My children are now the 3rd generation of kids at Mar Vista Elementary school and will surely continue through our local public schools. Given my familial history in our little slice of the Westside I care about it deeply.

It is my greatest wish that my children choose to stay as close to me as I did to mine. While that may speak to the quality of the relationship I have with my family the truth is that if they lived somewhere else I probably wouldn't have stuck around just for them. I truly love this place and not just in a nostalgic way. I love what we are becoming. Mar Vista is, like many areas around Los Angeles, adapting to a changing world. As quickly as our demographics are changing and our home prices are rising so is the divide between homeguard residents and new but equally important Mar Vistans. Change is, at times, difficult but it is also seemingly inevitable. Realizing this, I am choosing to get involved. For me, understanding the motivation behind change helps me to understand the reasons and value of the change. For this reason I wish to be involved in the decision process. I want to intimately understand the issues, learn from people I disagree with, and advocate for positive changes that both residents and visitors/travelers can benefit from. My way isn't THE way. I have changed positions on dozens of issues throughout my adult life based on both independent research and by having open, honest conversations with people I disagree with. It is this attitude I will bring to the board and will advocate all board members operate under.

It is my goal both through the Outreach Committee that I currently co-chair and through involvement as a Board Member to understand and advocate for stakeholders desires regardless of my personal beliefs. I believe that both majority and minority opinions deserve to be considered, represented, and respected and would hope to influence decisions to be made not based on personal emotions or fear of change but on what is best for most Mar Vistans.

It is my most sincere hope that the Chair see fit to appoint me to the current vacancy and that the Board approve such appointment. I am available for "cross examination" to the Chair and the Board via email should the situation warrant it and should the Chair see fit.

Thank you for your consideration,
Armond Seretti

mvcc.director.hanna@gmail.com

From: Stacy Shure <shurefamilyca@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2018 5:51 PM
To: Elliot Hanna
Subject: MVCC Board Member At Large Opening

Hello Elliot,

This email is to advise that I am interested in being considered for the At Large Board Member vacancy for the Mar Vista Community Council. I am over the age of 18 years of age, I am a resident of Zone 1 of the MVCC, specifically Midvale Avenue, and both my husband and I work in Zone 1; we have been residents since June, 1998.

I have a history of public volunteerism and participation on Boards of Directors that serve our community, our kids and our animal cohabitants. I was the Co-Founder and Board Member of the Alliance for Wildlife from 1982-1988 when the organization merged with another Non Profit in Orange County that is solely focused on wildlife rehabilitation, California Alliance for Wildlife Rehabilitation.

I have also served on the Board of Directors of Adat Shalom Temple, located in Westside Village, from 1999-2003. I was Chair of the annual Purim Carnival, Board Chair for the Women's League as well as Co-Chair of the Temple's Dor v' Dor fundraising drive which lasted 2 years and was instrumental in reviving the Temple when it was facing financial uncertainty.

I served on the Board of Directors of the Cheviot Hills Pony Baseball League from 2000-2008 including 4 years on the Executive Board. I was the Pony Baseball liaison to the parent organization that evaluated new baseball bat standards that created a safer game experience and which standards are still governing to this date.

I am presently the Secretary of the Westside Village Homeowners' Association, a position I have held for the past 2 years and am an active member of our CERT handheld emergency preparedness team and also serve as temporary Block Captain for my street after our Block Captain moved away and another replacement could not be found. I intend to continue my involvement with my Homeowners' Association and the events that we host in our community.

I am also currently one of the Co-Chairs of the MVCC Community Plan subcommittee and an active participant at PLUM and TI committee meetings. My passion is working with other stakeholders to ensure that we continue to maintain a good quality of life in our area of the Westside and make sure that when my children are established and looking for a place to raise their family that our Community will continue to offer them the lifestyle, convenience and sense of belonging that my husband and I have enjoyed.

In my professional life I am a writer, filmmaker and artist. I design and make wood furniture and also have been a stone sculptor (part time) for the last 20 years. When life slows down, I fill in the quiet time with artistic endeavors. I have raised two wonderful sons in Westside Village - one is presently a senior at Arizona State University's Cronkite School of Journalism and my oldest son is finishing his college studies in Motion Picture sound recording. My husband is an attorney and owner of his own firm. His work is specifically in the area of Insurance Recovery and Enforcement. (In a nutshell, he goes to war with insurance companies and sues them when necessary).

I think I would make a good addition to the MVCC because I have already been working with many members of the board and have been contributing significantly at the Committee level. I would be honored to represent Zone 1 and I feel another stakeholder from my Zone would be of great help in making sure that our Zone is well represented.

Thank you for your serious consideration. If you need any additional information or have any questions for me, please don't hesitate to let me know.

1

Sincerely,

Stacy Shure

(Agenda item 11.3) Resignations of Board Member and Committee Chairs/Co-Chairs – Discussion and possible action regarding resignations received, including appointments of replacements.

Please consider this my official resignation from the Mar Vista Community Council Board of Directors and Planning and Land Use Committee Chair effective immediately.

--
 Damien Newton, [REDACTED]
 Zone 2 Director
 Planning and Land Use Committee Chair
 Mar Vista Community Council
<http://marvista.org>

(Agenda item 12.1) Approval of Revised Budget - Review and possible approval of a revised budget for FY2018-2019.

(Agenda item 12.2) Approval of Monthly Expense Report - Review and possible approval of the Monthly Expense report from the prior month.

BOD 10/9/2018-Treasurer’s Report	
<i>(Totals are from funding page 10/1, MER reflects day of printing totals.)</i>	
City allocation to MVCC	\$42,000.00
YTD spending (per funding page)	\$6852.50
This month	\$4534.60-(cks* + cc exps, YTD \$7159.60)
9/1 The Web Corner	\$31.50-emails
9/1 The Web Corner	\$150-web maintenance
9/7 NWNA*	\$300-(block party, room rental- <u>denied</u>)
8/12 St Andrew’s*	\$25-airport committee
9/7 Sara Roos*	\$7.23–storage shed keys
9/7 Copyland	\$192.71–June Art Walk (73.74) Sept Art Walk (64.22) OFFICE- printing (\$45.99-July \$8.76-June)
9/7 Copyland	\$491.79-Sept Art Walk (\$430.06) Printing (\$34.35) <i>(Need one more invoice for \$27.38)</i>
9/8 Instacart	\$254.37-block party
9 /18 AT&T	\$95.54-MiFi
9/18 NWNA*	\$300-block party, resubmitted, approved
9/21 Mail chimp	\$27
9/21 Smart via instacart	\$282.75-Block party
9/28 Marina Graphic Center	\$2526.71-newsletter printing
9/28 Vineyard	\$150 FY2017-18 + FY 2018-19 room rentals
Commitments (per funding page)	.00
Pending payments	\$ 307.23
Net Available (per funding page-10/1)	\$34840.27
Cash on hand (per funding page-10/1)	\$35147.50
Motions:	
1-Board reimbursement-OFFICE exp- \$22.12 printing	
2-Newletter overage- OUTREACH \$294	
3-Unpaid FY2017-18 expense OUTREACH June Art Walk-\$73.74	
4-Community plan committee-OFFICE-room rental, St Andrew’s \$25/mtg or monthly	
5-other motions from committees (\$6650)	
5a-\$1000 NPG for Winter Wonderland	
5b-\$300 for refreshments for CERT classes	
5c-\$500 basic first aid supplies to assemble grab and go disaster kits	
5d-\$1500 high visibility vests all ages	
5e-\$600 \$100/zone to host coffee with a cop	
5f-\$750 (possible motion, not submitted to exfin)	
5g-\$2000 (possible motion for NPG, not submitted to exfin)	

(Agenda item 12.3) Approval of Mission Statements – Approval of mission statements submitted by committees and subcommittees to-date.

Elections and Bylaws –

The Mission of the Mar Vista Community Council's Elections and Bylaws Committee is to:

- *ensure that the Mar Vista Community Council conforms with the Election guidelines of the City of Los Angeles and the Election Procedures of the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment and supports the election efforts of the Mar Vista Community Council and the Greater Mar Vista Community.*
- *ensure that the Mar Vista Community Council's Bylaws conform with the City of Los Angeles and Department of Neighborhood Empowerment guidelines, and that they provide a procedural framework which promotes the effectiveness of the Mar Vista Community Council.*
- *provide a forum for the creation, change, and discussion of the bylaws and rules that govern the Mar Vista Community Council.*

Parks and Open Space –

The mission of the Mar Vista Community Council's Parks and Open Space Subcommittee is to work toward improving the quality of life for Mar Vista Stakeholders by enhancing the availability and quality of open space as well as increasing recreational opportunities in the Mar Vista vicinity including - but not limited to - improved bike lanes, bike parking, walking trails, pocket parks, dog parks, access to open fields and recreation facilities, as well as improved sustainability.

Homeless Issues –

The mission of the Mar Vista Community Council's Homeless Issues Subcommittee is to seek to protect the community from the impacts of homelessness by its commitment to helping homeless individuals who are willing to receive compassionate services, with the objective of (assisting the homeless in) obtaining permanent housing and a more hopeful future away from the streets.

Emergency Preparedness –

The mission of the Emergency Preparation Subcommittee of the Mar Vista Community Council is to help prepare as many residences, businesses, non-profits, and neighborhoods of Mar Vista as possible to withstand a major emergency with as little property damage, personal injury, and loss of life as possible. In order to accomplish its mission the subcommittee will, whenever possible, partner with LA Emergency Management Department, LA Fire Department, LA Police Department, CERT Battalions, the American Red Cross, FEMA, and other emergency preparedness organizations.

Executive and Finance –

The mission of the MVCC executive finance committee is to conduct fair, open and transparent discussion on all council administrative, financial, and policy business.

Transportation and Infrastructure –

The mission of the Mar Vista Community Council's Transportation and Infrastructure Committee is to serve as the policy advisory body to the Mar Vista Community Council on transportation and infrastructure issues that concern and/or impact the Mar Vista community. The committee's goal is to promote community input and involvement on these issues, and to provide input to the MVCC Board regarding:

(a) The redress of issues raised by the community regarding traffic, transportation, and infrastructure, and

(b) The development of area planning strategies for transportation and infrastructure, in cooperation and coordination with Los Angeles Department of Transportation, California Department of Transportation, and other organizations and stakeholders, that will enhance the quality of life for all in the Mar Vista community.

Airports –

Recognizing that many Mar Vista stakeholders are located either directly under airport flight paths, immediately adjacent to, or impacted by airport activities:

It is the mission of the MVCC Airport Committee to represent the interests of, and to keep MV stakeholders informed of the ongoing health, safety, environmental, monetary, and quality of life issues created by the activities of the airports, solutions to these issues, and to link with other community organizations and their activities.

Community Plan –

The mission of the MVCC Community Plan Ad Hoc Committee is to engage Mar Vista stakeholders in the City of Los Angeles' Palms-Mar Vista-Del Rey Community Plan update. The process of updating this Plan will involve addressing issues of open space, development, transportation, public services, mobility, safety and maintenance of quality of life, as they relate locally to the neighborhoods and "Zones" of Mar Vista's Community Council. MVCC's Ad Hoc Committee will mediate the interests of and facilitate communications between MVCC stakeholders and the City via workshops and regular monthly meetings. If feasible, the Committee will develop a Neighborhood Plan specific to Mar Vista and the neighborhoods encompassed by MVCC's boundary.

(Agenda item 12.4) Approval of Funding Requests – See main agenda, except for below
(Agenda item 12.4.3.1) Winter Wonderland 2018 NPG -

Neighborhood Council Funding Program
APPLICATION for Neighborhood Purposes Grant (NPG)

MarVista
WVWZ



This form is to be completed by the applicant seeking the Neighborhood Purposes Grant and submitted to the Neighborhood Council from whom the grant is being sought. All applications for grants must be reviewed and approved in a public meeting. Upon approval of the application the Neighborhood Council (NC) shall submit the application along with all required documentation to the Office of the City Clerk, NC Funding Program.

Name of NC from which you are seeking this grant: mv/NC - MarVista

SECTION I - APPLICANT INFORMATION

1a) Pacific Area Boosters ASSOC. 95-3971193 CA 1973
 Organization Name Federal I.D. # (EIN#) State of Incorporation Date of 501(c)(3) Status (if applicable)

1b) P.O. Box 2895 Venice CA 90294
 Organization Mailing Address City State Zip Code

1c) _____
 Business Address (if different) City State Zip Code

1d) PRIMARY CONTACT INFORMATION:
Diane Barrett 310.529.1294 sbpress7@aol.com
 Name Phone Email

2) Type of Organization- Please select one:
 Public School (not to include private schools) Attach Signed letter on School Letterhead or 501(c)(3) Non-Profit (other than religious institutions) Attach IRS Determination Letter

3) X _____
 Name / Address of Affiliated Organization (if applicable) City State Zip Code

SECTION II - PROJECT DESCRIPTION

4) Please describe the purpose and intent of the grant.
 For the purchase of food and toys in support of LAPD's Annual Community Outreach program targeting Pacific Communities. Winter Wonderland Event.

5) How will this grant be used to primarily support or serve a public purpose and benefit the public at-large. (Grants cannot be used as rewards or prizes for individuals)
 Toys and food are given to the community for the holidays

SECTION III - PROJECT BUDGET OUTLINE

You may also provide the Budget Outline on a separate sheet if necessary or requested.

6a)	Personnel Related Expenses	Requested of NC	Total Projected Cost
		\$	\$
		\$	\$
		\$	\$

6b)	Non-Personnel Related Expenses	Requested of NC	Total Projected Cost
	TOYS + Food to be purchased	\$	\$ 1000.
		\$	\$
		\$	\$

7) Have you (applicant) applied to any other Neighborhood Councils requesting funds for this project?
 No Yes If Yes, please list names of NCs: DRNC, NCWP, PNC

8) Is the implementation of this specific program or purpose described in Question 4 contingent on any other factors or sources or funding? (Including NPG applications to other NCs) No Yes If Yes, please describe:

Source of Funding	Amount	Total Projected Cost
Other NC Councils + Donations + Sponsorships	\$	\$ 20,000
	\$	\$
	\$	\$

9) What is the TOTAL amount of the grant funding requested with this application: \$ 1000.

10a) Start date: 8, 1, 18 10b) Date Funds Required: 12, 8, 18 10c) Expected Completion Date: 12, 8, 18
 (After completion of the project, the applicant should submit a Project Completion Report to the Neighborhood Council)

SECTION IV - POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

11a) Do you (applicant) have a current or former relationship with a Board Member of the NC?
 No Yes If Yes, please describe below:

Name of NC Board Member	Relationship to Applicant

11b) If yes, did you request that the board member consult the Office of the City Attorney before filing this application?
 Yes No *(Please note that if a Board Member of the NC has a conflict of interest and completes this form, or participates in the discussion and voting of this NPG, the NC Funding Program will deny the payment of this grant in its entirety.)

SECTION V - DECLARATION AND SIGNATURE

I hereby affirm that, to the best of my knowledge, the information provided herein and communicated otherwise is truly and accurately stated. I further affirm that I have read the documents "What is a Public Benefit," and "Conflicts of Interest" of this application and affirm that the proposed project(s) and/or program(s) fall within the criteria of a public benefit project/program and that no conflict of interest exist that would prevent the awarding of the Neighborhood Purposes Grant. I affirm that I am not a current Board Member of the Neighborhood Council to whom I am submitting this application. I further affirm that if the grant received is not used in accordance with the terms of the application stated here, said funds shall be returned immediately to the Neighborhood Council.

12a) Executive Director of Non-Profit Corporation or School Principal - REQUIRED*
Diane Barrett President Diane Barrett 6-28-18
 PRINT Name Title Signature Date

12b) Secretary of Non-profit Corporation or Assistant School Principal - REQUIRED*
Donna Lasman Secretary Donna Lasman 6-28-18
 PRINT Name Title Signature Date

* If a current Board Member holds the position of Executive Director or Secretary, please contact the NC Funding Program at (213) 978-1058 or clerk.ncfunding@lacity.org for instructions on completing this form

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
DISTRICT DIRECTOR
2 CUPANIA CT/ULE
MONTEREY PARK, CA 91754

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Date: ~~Jan 02 1989~~

Employer Identification Number:
95-3971193

Contact Person:
TYRONE THOMAS

Contact Telephone Number:
(213) 725-0164

PACIFIC AREA BOOSTERS ASSOCIATION
12312 CULVER BLVD
LOS ANGELES, CA 90066-6222

Our Letter Dated:
March 1, 1989
Addendum Applies:
No

Dear Applicant:

This modifies our letter of the above date in which we stated that you would be treated as an organization that is not a private foundation until the expiration of your advance ruling period.

Your exempt status under section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) is still in effect. Based on the information you submitted, we have determined that you are not a private foundation within the meaning of section 509(a) of the Code because you are an organization of the type described in section 509(a)(2).

Grantors and contributors may rely on this determination unless the Internal Revenue Service publishes notice to the contrary. However, if you lose your section 509(a)(2) status, a grantor or contributor may not rely on this determination if he or she was in part responsible for, or was aware of, the act or failure to act, or the substantial or material change on the part of the organization that resulted in your loss of such status, or if he or she acquired knowledge that the Internal Revenue Service had given notice that you would no longer be classified as a section 509(a)(2) organization.

If we have indicated in the heading of this letter that an addendum applies, the addendum enclosed is an integral part of this letter.

Because this letter could help resolve any questions about your private foundation status, please keep it in your permanent records.

If you have any questions, please contact the person whose name and telephone number are shown above.

Sincerely yours,



Michael J. Quinn
District Director

Letter 1050 (DO/CG)

(Agenda item 14.1) Subcommittee Name Change (Mobility Subcommittee) – See main agenda

(Agenda item 14.2) Opposition to Dockless Scooter Permits (Transportation & Infrastructure) –

WHEREAS, the Mar Vista Community Council supports dockless scooters as an affordable mode of transportation that integrates well with existing public transit options; and

WHEREAS, the City Council and LADOT recently approved and developed a pilot program and regulations for shareable dockless vehicles; and

WHEREAS, the pilot regulations drew from a traffic study limited to one district and did not include usage patterns or accident rates in areas where dockless scooter usage is highest; and

WHEREAS, the regulations emphasized equity and sidewalk safety of parked vehicles, but ignored safety of vehicles in operation; and

WHEREAS, the regulations do not provide LAPD with specific guidance on enforcement, allocate resources from fees to mitigate the costs of enforcement, or require dockless scooter operators to self-enforce by geofencing prohibited areas; and

WHEREAS, these regulations are largely unenforced and dockless companies are not yet in compliance with them and do not have a firm deadline for doing so, and

WHEREAS, local hospitals have reported numerous injuries, many of them serious, and the city has no process to collect data on these injuries,

THEREFORE, MVCC objects to the premature approval of the LADOT regulations and opposes issuing permits to companies to operate scooters at this time. MVCC will support these actions once the city council and LADOT swiftly improve the regulations and their enforcement by:

- requiring permitted companies to disallow scooter usage or parking via geofencing at all areas of Venice Beach including all bike and pedestrian paths, city parks (excluding roads and bicycle lanes), and LAUSD primary and secondary schools, and to enforce this by disabling scooters from being ridden or parked within the geofenced area;
- providing LAPD with adequate resources to deploy two extra officers for full-time traffic enforcement, to focus on scooter usage in high-impact areas;
- approving Councilmember Koretz's related motion (September 28), work with council offices and LAPD to identify areas with high incidence of illegal dockless vehicle operation, and install signage in those locations without delay; and
- announcing a firm deadline for scooter companies that have not submitted applications for the pilot program, or are not in compliance with key parts of the pilot regulations, to cease operating within the City.

Additionally, MVCC requests that LA City Council and LADOT act during the pilot program to:

- study scooter traffic patterns, illegal operation, injury rates, and ER, Urgent Care and inpatient utilization in areas of the city with the highest scooter usage;
- use the results of that study to determine, with LAPD, the extra long-term resources needed to enforce traffic laws for scooters and plan to cover the cost of these resources with changes to the permitting fee structure; and
- add functionality to the 311 app to accept reports of illegal scooter usage, injuries, or property damage suffered by scooters, develop tools for LAPD to rapidly identify dockless vehicles involved in injuries or property damage and obtain warrants to identify involved scooter users, and add expectations on response times for dockless scooter companies to respond to legitimate subpoenas from city agencies.

THEREFORE, the MVCC requests that this motion be attached to council file CF17-1125.

(Agenda item 14.3) Discussion of Improvements to MVCC website – See main agenda
(Agenda item 14.4) Discussion of Constituting a Town-Hall Subcommittee – See main agenda
(Agenda item 15) Report Regarding Permanent Supportive Housing in Mar Vista (Public Health and Safety) –

October 5, 2018

Mr. Rob Kadota and Mr. Ken Frese
Co-Chairs, Public Health and Safety Committee
Mar Vista Community Council

Dear Mr. Kadota and Mr. Frese,

At the August 31, 2018 special meeting of the Mar Vista Community Council Board of Directors, a letter from Councilman Bonin was discussed and forwarded to our Homeless Issues Committee for consideration. Mr. Bonin's letter requested Council partnership in identifying supportive housing solutions in our neighborhood.

The Board requested that our committee:

1. Report back to the Board with guidelines for what Zone Directors should be seeking to assist with this task.
2. In cooperation, identify locations for supportive housing as requested in the letter.

In response to this request, the Homeless Committee Co-Chairs have partnered with Mr. Bonin's office.

We are pleased to note that our next monthly committee meeting will include speakers from Venice Community Housing Corporation. These speakers will discuss YouthBuild Mitchell House, a transitional housing project for 10 young adults experiencing homelessness that will soon be open in Mar Vista.

In specific response to the Board's request:

1. The Zone Directors should communicate with our committee regarding any potential privately-owned SafePark locations he or she identifies. The parameters for SafePark can be found on our council website under our committee listing. As we move forward with any appropriate solutions, the committee will appreciate the Zone Directors' public support.
2. Our committee has identified, and discussed with Mr. Bonin's office, every city-owned property in our neighborhood as possibilities for housing solutions. As you may know, Mar Vista has no currently unspoken for city properties. However, we continue to advocate for any feasible uses of these.

We have also identified several privately-owned properties that may be suitable for solutions. These have been discussed with Mr. Bonin's Office and we continue to advocate for their use.

Our Committee appreciates the gravity of the homeless crisis in our neighborhood. We continue to be actively engaged in identifying and creating solutions and look forward to sharing ideas and progress.

Sincerely,

Renee Sabshin
Susan Klos
Roman Samiley
Co-Chairs Homeless Issues Committee
Mar Vista Community Council

What Is the Mayor’s “A Bridge Home” project?

A Bridge Home is TEMPORARY “bridge” housing for chronically homeless families and individuals while connecting them to a full range of supportive services with eventual placement into permanent housing. The goal is to move people out of these temporary shelters and into more permanent housing as quickly as possible — meaning that beds could turn over as many as four times in a year. How long someone stays in the temporary bridge housing is based on their need, but should to be no more than six months.

A Bridge Home sites will offer immediate beds, showers, mental health services, restrooms, storage facilities, and pet accommodations, as well as around-the-clock, on-site care for Angelenos who are sleeping on the street now and awaiting supportive housing or other long-term care. **These sites will be fully staffed 24/7 by case workers from The People Concern with 24/7 on-site security, housing navigators, mental health professionals, and anti-addiction specialists** who will help homeless clients. **City staff will closely monitor each site to help ensure safety and cleanliness.** With the City’s additional funding for sanitation services, the goal is to convert existing encampments back into clean, safe public spaces for all residents to enjoy.

The City is deploying teams of outreach workers (HOME - Homeless Outreach Mobile Engagement teams) to engage homeless Angelenos who live around the A Bridge Home site. Each site is specifically designed to support the needs of the population nearby — whether they are families, women, men, or senior citizens. Everyone will have their housing needs assessed as they come on site, and their case manager will work with them to move them into a more permanent solution.

The City is primarily looking at lots it already owns — that are at least 20,000 square feet in size and located near dense homeless encampments. **Each Council District is committed to creating a site that reflects the spirit and aesthetic of the neighborhood where it stands designed to incorporate the input of service providers, optimize access to services, and create a comfortable community space that helps clients stabilize and get back on their feet.** The structures themselves will be trailers or platformed spaces covered in canvas. <https://11thdistrict.com/a-bridge-home/faq/>

But before a site is officially chosen, it is assessed by engineers to ensure that it’s an appropriate place to put temporary housing, and that it’s equipped with the necessary water, power, and sewage connections.



The Mayor's budget funds temporary bridge housing in all 15 Council Districts. The City is primarily looking at lots it already owns — that are at least 20,000 square feet in size and located near dense homeless encampments. **These sites are specifically designed to help clean up encampments in your neighborhood.** Every Council District that builds **temporary bridge housing will receive additional sanitation funds to restore spaces that were previously encampment sites into safe, clean, public passageways.** The City will also employ **greater enforcement services** to ensure that the streets surrounding new A Bridge Home sites stay safe and clean.

“A Bridge Home puts Angelenos on a path out of homelessness and into supportive housing.”
Mayor Eric Garcetti

<https://www.lamayor.org/ABridgeHome>





WHAT IS SAFE PARKING?

Safe Parking is a night time program for people who are living in their vehicles to have a safe and legal place to sleep at night on a parking lot, use a restroom, and get up in the morning, take their kids to school, go to work, and get on with their day who have the ultimate goal of finding housing. There is an application process, no one can just drop in to the lot, and it is staffed with security who checks in the participants with their parking placard. There will be a security guard on the lot whenever participants are present, both for the safety of anyone sleeping at night to know that they will not be bothered, and also for the piece of mind for the surrounding neighborhood. Along with the application, there is a code of conduct with stipulations such as no cooking, camping, no outside visitors, etc, that is personalized for the specific needs of each location. Safe Parking is a city-approved program to address homelessness at the local level.

Safe Parking LA runs several programs in Los Angeles, each with 5-15 participants, and meets with the local LAPD division prior to opening any site in the area. Safe Parking LA (SPALA) has been operating within Olympic Division since March 1, 2018 with zero incidents and zero calls to LAPD.

HOW DOES IT WORK IN EACH NEIGHBORHOOD?

LA needs as many Safe Parking sites, public and private, in each division as possible. This alleviates the burden from legal street parking on the 85.02 designated “green streets” and prevents the illegal street parking in residential neighborhoods for the 8,000 people who are homeless and in live in their vehicles.

Safe Parking sites can be churches, mosques, synagogues, banks, private businesses of any kind. If each of the 97 Neighborhood Councils in the 15 Council Districts identifies one or two Safe Parking sites, it would make a significant contribution to the solution of the homelessness crisis at a local level. Senior Lead Officers know their basic car areas well, have wonderful relationships with their Neighborhood Councils, and can be a tremendous partner in this effort. Safe Parking LA (SPALA) coordinates all of the logistics for setting up and running a Safe Parking program. Our frequently asked questions revolve around security, liability, and effecting a change locally.

LIABILITY

Safe Parking LA (SPALA) will list the lot owner and address as an additional insured to our \$1,000,000- \$2,000,000 policy. Each participant is required to have their own motor vehicle insurance, a driver’s license, and current registration, which SPALA coordinates.

VANDALISM/SECURITY

SPALA has had zero acts of vandalism and zero calls to LAPD to date.

In the 14 years of Safe Parking programs with 125 vehicles in Santa Barbara, there have been zero acts of vandalism and SBPD has acknowledged the reduction in calls

and citations regarding people living in their vehicles. In ten years of the San Diego programs with 115 vehicles, there has been two unrelated episodes of vandalism from people outside of the program hours. A Safe Parking program serves as a deterrent to vandalism, offering security and "eyes on the lot." SPALA maintains an active relationship with LAPD and the Council office.

HOW MUCH DOES IT COST?

The Safe Parking program does not cost anything to the lot owner. Each parking lot owner gets a \$500 stipend for soft costs that the lot owner might bear, such as water and electricity.

WHO ARE THE PARTICIPANTS?

Most current parking patrons are employed/under employed/recently unemployed. All are screened and interviewed with application and waivers, including the National Sex Offender database. People value having a safe place to sleep at night and do not abuse the privilege. No one enters the lot without an invitation. It is not a drop-in program, and the addresses are not advertised. Eviction and termination of lot privileges can be immediate. This program is for people who are actively seeking housing.

PROGRAM HOURS

A standard program runs for 12 hours a day, seven days a week, but is each program is created for the location it serves and takes specific needs into account. Programs does not overlap with day school hours at all. The program can "co-locate" with other programming on campus, including basketball practice or group meetings. Security guards or lot monitors help facilitate interaction between groups and allay concerns from the campus community. Neighbors and congregants are welcome to volunteer or witness the program in action.

SANITATION: BATHROOMS AND SHOWERS

All Safe Parking programs will have some form of sanitation arranged and paid for by Safe Parking LA, typically a portapotty and wash basin, unless the local facility allows access to restrooms on-site. Since showers are not typically available on-site, off-site local resources with shower services available are shared with participants, though some lots have the ability to host a weekly shower "trailer", such as LavaMae, Showers of Hope, etc.

CASE MANAGEMENT/SERVICE PROVIDERS

Safe Parking is a "temporary refuge" for people living in their vehicles with a goal of getting back into housing. Patrons are both referred to Safe Parking LA by case managers, and Safe Parking LA connects patrons to more services through the local service providers working within the Coordinated Entry System under the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority. Anyone, including LAPD, can refer a participant to SPALA.

213-793-8493
www.SafeParkingLA.org
info@SafeParkingLA.org